

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fil	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/024,988	1:	2/19/2001	Thomas Harold Roessler	KCC-15,369 3706	
35844	7590	09/17/2003			
PAULEY PETERSEN KINNE & ERICKSON				EXAMINER	
2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 365				KIDWELL, MICHELE M	
HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195					
		,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3761	
				DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003	\sim

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>Q</i>
7	10/024,988	ROESSLER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Michele Kidwell	3761	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespond nce address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version of the provision of the provisi	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	is action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application	l.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and/or a Application Papers	election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		niner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	, ,	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		,	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	rity documents have been receive reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	d in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		<u> </u>	

Application/Control Number: 10/024,988

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1 22, drawn to a method of producing selectively elastic areas,
 classified in class 156, subclass 349.
- Claims 23 32, drawn to an absorbent garment having a nonrugose, ungathered, unshirred cuff, classified in class 604, subclass 385.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the absorbent garment can be formed without an precursor web extendable under force or a biaxially expandable web having a second dimension greater than a first dimension.

In the event that the applicant elects Group II, the following election of species requirement applies.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I – a first material having an untensioned elastomeric second material thereon

Application/Control Number: 10/024,988

Art Unit: 3761

Species II – a first material having an elastomeric second material thereon of sufficiently low tension

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/024,988

Art Unit: 3761

A telephone call was made to Roland Norris on September 10, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Kidwell whose telephone number is 703-305-2941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on 703-308-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Nuchele Kidwell
Michele Kidwell

September 10, 2003

WEILUN LO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700